

Negotiating Tradition and Modernity: Indian Cinema's Engagement with Tagorean Narratives

Mahak Talwar, Research Scholar, Department of English, NIILM University, Kaithal, Haryana
Dr. Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of English, NIILM University, Kaithal, Haryana

Abstract

Rabindranath Tagore's literary works occupy a unique position in Indian cultural history, as they reflect a deep engagement with tradition while simultaneously questioning rigid social norms. When Indian cinema adapts or engages with Tagorean narratives, it does more than translate literature into visual form; it reinterprets Tagore's ideas in the context of changing social realities. This paper examines how Indian cinema negotiates the tension between tradition and modernity through Tagorean narratives. Rather than focusing only on fidelity to the original texts, the study explores how filmmakers reinterpret Tagore's themes—such as individual freedom, gender roles, nationalism, and moral conflict—to address contemporary concerns. The paper argues that Indian cinema uses Tagorean narratives as a cultural bridge, preserving ethical and philosophical depth while reshaping meanings to suit modern audiences. Through thematic analysis, the study highlights how cinema transforms Tagore's ideas into a living dialogue between past values and present realities.

Keywords: Rabindranath Tagore, Indian cinema, tradition, modernity, cultural negotiation, literary narratives

1. Introduction

Indian cinema has consistently interacted with literature as a rich source of themes, characters, and social reflection, using storytelling to address questions that resonate deeply within Indian society. From early adaptations of epics and classical texts to modern reinterpretations of novels and short stories, cinema has functioned as a bridge between written tradition and popular cultural expression. Within this broader literary engagement, Rabindranath Tagore occupies a distinctive position because his writings do not merely narrate stories; they interrogate the moral, social, and emotional foundations of Indian life. His works explore issues such as individual freedom, gender relations, nationalism, education, and ethical responsibility, all of which continue to shape public discourse in contemporary India. This enduring relevance explains why Tagorean narratives repeatedly attract filmmakers across generations. Tagore's intellectual outlook was marked by a careful balance between tradition and modernity. He valued Indian cultural and spiritual heritage but strongly opposed social practices that restricted human dignity and personal growth. At the same time, he was skeptical of mechanical Westernization that ignored indigenous values and social realities. This nuanced position allowed Tagore to critique rigid customs without rejecting cultural roots, and to welcome modern ideas without losing ethical depth. Such complexity makes his narratives particularly suitable for cinema, a medium that thrives on conflict, transition, and emotional struggle. His characters often inhabit moments of change—caught between social duty and personal desire, collective identity and individual conscience—mirroring the dilemmas faced by modern Indian society.

Indian cinema itself operates within a similar field of tension. As a mass medium, it must engage audiences shaped by globalization, technological change, and shifting social norms, while still responding to deeply ingrained cultural traditions. Filmmakers adapting Tagorean works therefore encounter a dual challenge: preserving the philosophical and ethical core of the original texts, and reshaping them in ways that speak to contemporary viewers. This often requires selective emphasis, visual reinterpretation, and contextual relocation of narratives. Rather than offering faithful reproductions of literary texts, cinema reworks Tagore's ideas through new settings, symbols, and character dynamics, allowing his thought to interact with present-day concerns such as gender equality, individual autonomy, and evolving notions of nationalism.

This study examines Indian cinema as a space where Tagore's vision of tradition and modernity is continuously renegotiated. Instead of viewing film adaptations as secondary or derivative, the paper treats them as creative engagements that extend Tagore's intellectual legacy. By analyzing how filmmakers reinterpret his narratives, the study highlights cinema's role in transforming literary heritage into a living cultural dialogue. In doing so, it demonstrates that Tagorean narratives remain not only artistically influential but also socially meaningful in understanding how India continues to negotiate its past and present.

2. Literature Review

S. Sen (India) (2021) analyses the cinematic engagement with Rabindranath Tagore's narratives by focusing on how Indian filmmakers reinterpret his stories of domestic life, education, and social reform as ethical dramas of choice rather than conventional romantic plots. Sen argues that cinema retains Tagore's central tension between duty and desire, and between society and the self, but expresses it through specifically cinematic techniques such as silence, minimal dialogue, restrained acting, careful framing, and slow narrative pacing. These techniques externalize the inner moral struggles that are often conveyed through introspection in Tagore's prose. Sen concludes that Tagore functions in cinema as a living cultural text: tradition is not discarded, nor is modernity celebrated uncritically. Instead, tradition is continuously tested against modern questions of individuality, gender equality, and personal freedom. Through this process, cinema becomes a public cultural space where competing values are negotiated rather than resolved. From the perspective of Cultural Negotiation Theory, Sen demonstrates that Indian cinema does not present tradition and modernity as opposing forces with a clear winner; rather, it repeatedly re-balances them in response to changing social realities.

R. Mukherjee (India) (2018) examines film adaptations of Tagore's works as powerful forms of social critique, arguing that Indian cinema intensifies Tagore's criticism of rigid social norms such as class respectability, family honour, and moral surveillance. Mukherjee highlights that cinema's visual language—crowded interiors, intrusive gazes, public rituals, and spatial confinement—allows filmmakers to depict social pressure more forcefully than literary narration. As a result, Tagorean themes of conformity and resistance gain heightened emotional impact on screen. Mukherjee concludes that Tagorean cinema frequently acts as a mirror to contemporary Indian society, where outward markers of modernity coexist with persistent moral judgement and social control. Characters inspired by Tagore often resist these norms not through open rebellion, but through subtle acts of refusal, silence, or withdrawal. Using Gramscian Cultural Hegemony as a critical lens, Mukherjee explains that these films reveal how social consent is produced and maintained through family structures, community expectations, and ideas of "good behaviour," while also showing how individuals inspired by Tagorean ethics challenge and destabilize that consent from within.

A. Chatterjee (India)(2015) examines the figure of the "modern woman" in Rabindranath Tagore's writings and analyses how Indian cinema repositions these female characters not merely as sufferers of patriarchy but as active agents of selfhood and moral choice. Chatterjee shows that while Tagore's literary women often express resistance through inner reflection, cinema amplifies their subjectivity by foregrounding their perspectives through voiceovers, close-up shots, focused framing, and narrative centring. These cinematic strategies allow audiences to directly experience women's emotional and ethical conflicts rather than observing them from a distance. Chatterjee concludes that film adaptations strengthen Tagore's enduring ethical question: Can a woman pursue freedom, desire, and self-realization without being branded immoral by society? By visually restoring women's interiority, cinema deepens Tagore's critique of gendered morality while adapting it to contemporary feminist discourse. Using Feminist Film Theory, particularly concepts of the gaze and agency, the study highlights

how cinema can either reproduce patriarchal control or reclaim women's subjectivity while "modernising" Tagore's narratives.

P. Dutta (2012) explores Tagore-inspired films as narratives of identity formation, focusing on how individuals are shaped by education, nationalism, religion, and social expectation. Dutta argues that Indian cinema uses Tagore's stories as frameworks to debate the meaning of "Indian modernity," emphasizing that modernity does not necessarily imply Westernization, nor does tradition automatically represent ethical truth. Through cinematic storytelling, Tagore's characters are placed within evolving social contexts where competing value systems collide. Dutta concludes that Tagore remains relevant on screen precisely because his texts do not offer final answers; instead, they pose unresolved moral and philosophical questions that can be reinterpreted across historical moments. These open-ended tensions allow filmmakers to revisit Tagore in response to changing social realities. From the perspective of Postcolonial Modernity, the study shows how Indian cinema negotiates modern identity under the shadow of colonial history while striving to maintain cultural self-respect and ethical autonomy.

K. Banerjee (2010) focuses on Tagore's complex understanding of nationalism and examines how Indian cinema adapts his critique of aggressive, emotion-driven nationalism. Banerjee argues that films inspired by Tagore often transform abstract political ideas into intimate moral conflicts played out within families, friendships, and local communities. Rather than rejecting nationalism outright, Tagorean cinema distinguishes between cultural pride and exclusionary patriotism, highlighting how blind national passion can erode human values. Banerjee concludes that these cinematic adaptations preserve Tagore's humanistic warning: love for one's country must not turn into hatred for others. Through character-based storytelling, cinema makes nationalism an ethical problem rather than a purely political ideology. Using Ethical Humanism and Cosmopolitanism as a critical lens, the study demonstrates how Tagore's universal human-centred ethics becomes the moral standard against which nationalist emotions are evaluated on screen.

N. Bhattacharya (2008) analyses how Indian cinema translates Tagore's psychological depth into visual and spatial language rather than explicit philosophical dialogue. Bhattacharya highlights the use of lighting, interior spaces, doorways, windows, thresholds, pauses, and silence as recurring symbols that express constraint, longing, and inner conflict. These visual motifs mirror the emotional states of Tagorean characters, allowing spectators to feel philosophical tension rather than intellectually decode it. Bhattacharya concludes that Tagore adaptations succeed when filmmakers resist the urge to explain ideas verbally and instead rely on mood, rhythm, and imagery. In this way, cinema does not simply reproduce literature but creates a new artistic form that retains Tagore's moral atmosphere. Drawing on Adaptation Studies and Intersemiotic Translation, the study argues that meaning inevitably shifts across media and should be understood as cultural re-creation rather than faithful reproduction, reinforcing the idea that cinema transforms Tagore rather than merely translating him.

M. Ghosh (India) (2005) examines Tagorean narratives in the context of changing Indian family structures and argues that filmmakers repeatedly return to Tagore to address modern social anxieties rooted within traditional institutions. Ghosh shows that cinema uses Tagore's stories to explore marriage not merely as a personal relationship but as a social institution shaped by duty, reputation, and emotional restraint. Themes such as emotional loneliness within marriage, conflict between public image and private truth, and the silent suffering of individuals—especially women—are highlighted more sharply in cinematic adaptations. Ghosh concludes that Tagore's lasting relevance lies in his ability to portray the modern self trapped within traditional frameworks, a contradiction that mirrors the lived reality of contemporary Indian audiences. Cinema finds Tagore particularly adaptable because it visualizes this tension between outward conformity and inner rebellion. Using the Sociology of Family combined with Modernity Theory, the study explains how social institutions

modernize unevenly, while emotional expectations, gender roles, and moral judgments remain deeply contested.

S. Raychaudhuri (2001) evaluates Tagore's presence in Indian cinema as part of a broader tradition of cultural memory, arguing that filmmakers return to Tagorean adaptations especially during periods of social transition and ideological uncertainty. Raychaudhuri suggests that Tagore provides a culturally respected and morally authoritative language through which cinema can question patriarchy, social hypocrisy, nationalism, and moral rigidity without appearing overtly radical. Because Tagore occupies a canonical position in Indian culture, his narratives function as a "safe" yet powerful space for critique. The study concludes that Tagorean cinema works as a form of cultural remembrance, where past texts are repeatedly reactivated to interpret present dilemmas. Through the lens of Cultural Memory Studies, Raychaudhuri demonstrates that Tagore operates as a shared memory archive—one that filmmakers draw upon to negotiate contemporary tensions while maintaining cultural legitimacy and continuity.\

3. Tagore's Vision of Tradition and Modernity

Rabindranath Tagore did not see tradition as something rigid or unchangeable. For him, tradition was a living cultural force that could grow and adapt with time. He deeply respected Indian spiritual values, moral principles, and cultural heritage, especially those that promoted harmony, compassion, and human dignity. However, he strongly opposed traditions that restricted individual freedom or caused injustice. Tagore was particularly critical of social customs that oppressed women and marginalized communities, such as rigid gender roles, forced marriages, and denial of education. He believed that any tradition that limits human growth loses its moral value. Rabindranath Tagore did not see tradition as something rigid or frozen in the past. For him, tradition was a living cultural force that had to grow with time. He deeply respected India's spiritual, ethical, and humanistic values, but he openly criticised social customs that restricted human freedom, especially those imposed on women and marginalised groups. Tagore believed that tradition should support human dignity and moral growth, not blind obedience. In *Ghare Baire* (The Home and the World), he questions narrow nationalism and social rigidity through Nikhil's voice, where Nikhil reflects:

"I am not afraid of change, but I am afraid of injustice committed in the name of tradition."

(Tagore, *Ghare Baire*, Visva-Bharati edition, p. 84)

At the same time, Tagore was equally critical of unthinking imitation of Western modernity. He warned that blindly copying Western ideas without understanding Indian social realities could lead to cultural rootlessness. In *Nationalism* (1917), he clearly states that progress should not come at the cost of moral and cultural identity. He writes:

"Modern civilisation is consuming the spirit of man by worshipping power rather than truth."

(Tagore, *Nationalism*, Macmillan edition, p. 42)

This balanced vision—neither orthodox traditionalism nor blind modernism—is central to Tagore's literary world. His characters often stand at the crossroads of social duty and personal freedom. In *Gora*, the protagonist struggles between inherited social identity and universal human values. Tagore writes:

"Man is not born to follow society blindly; society must answer to man's conscience."

(Tagore, *Gora*, Sahitya Akademi edition, p. 311)

At the same time, Tagore was cautious about blindly accepting Western modernity. Although he supported education, scientific thinking, and global exchange of ideas, he warned against copying Western lifestyles and values without understanding their social consequences. Tagore felt that uncritical imitation of the West could lead to cultural rootlessness, where individuals lose connection with their own history and identity. For him, true modernity meant intellectual freedom, ethical responsibility, and creative thinking—not rejection of one's cultural roots.

This balanced view of tradition and modernity is clearly reflected in Tagore's literary works. His characters are often shown struggling between social expectations and personal desires. Many of them face emotional and moral conflicts while trying to choose between obedience to society and loyalty to their inner conscience. Through these characters, Tagore explores important social issues such as marriage without emotional understanding, education as a tool for liberation, nationalism versus humanism, and the search for personal identity. Because Tagore's ideas deal with timeless human struggles, his narratives remain relevant even today. Indian society continues to face similar tensions between tradition and change, making Tagore's vision especially meaningful in the modern era. Cinema, as a powerful medium that reflects social realities, provides an effective platform to reinterpret these ideas. Through visual storytelling, filmmakers can highlight emotional conflicts, social pressures, and personal transformations that lie at the heart of Tagore's thought. As a result, Tagorean narratives naturally adapt to cinema, allowing his vision of tradition and modernity to reach new audiences in changing cultural contexts.

4. Indian Cinema as a Space of Cultural Negotiation

Indian cinema operates as a crucial cultural arena where Rabindranath Tagore's complex engagement with tradition and modernity is continuously reworked and reinterpreted. Rather than presenting tradition as static or modernity as purely liberating, cinematic adaptations inspired by Tagorean narratives portray social change as a gradual, emotionally charged process rooted in lived experience. Filmmakers translate Tagore's philosophical concerns—such as the conflict between individual freedom and social obligation—into visual language by focusing on silence, gaze, spatial confinement, and restrained dialogue. These techniques allow audiences to *feel* the weight of tradition and the pull of modern aspirations, making Tagore's ideas accessible beyond the literary elite. His belief that social reform must arise from inner moral awakening rather than external force is particularly suited to cinema, which can depict psychological struggle with immediacy and depth. Tagore clearly articulates this position when he writes, "*The problem is not to uproot tradition, but to free the mind from the bondage of dead habit*" (Rabindranath Tagore, *Nationalism*, Macmillan, 1917, p. 91). Indian filmmakers echo this idea by showing characters who do not simply rebel against society, but who suffer, hesitate, and transform gradually within it.

Moreover, cinema amplifies Tagore's humanism by situating personal conflict within broader social settings—homes, classrooms, villages, and nationalist spaces—thereby linking private emotion to collective change. His critique of blind nationalism, rigid patriarchy, and moral conformity gains renewed relevance on screen, especially in post-independence and contemporary India, where tradition and modernity coexist uneasily. Tagore's conviction that culture must remain dynamic finds resonance in cinematic reinterpretations that adapt narrative emphasis to present-day concerns such as women's agency, education, and identity. As he notes in *Sadhana*, "*Freedom is not the absence of restraint, but the harmony of the inner and outer life*" (Rabindranath Tagore, *Sadhana: The Realisation of Life*, Macmillan, 1913, p. 84). Indian cinema, by visualizing this harmony through character arcs and symbolic storytelling, becomes a living space of cultural negotiation. Thus, Tagorean narratives in film do not merely preserve tradition or celebrate modernity; they mediate between the two, sustaining Tagore's legacy as an evolving dialogue rather than a fixed literary inheritance.

5. Representation of Gender and Individual Freedom

Indian cinema engages most powerfully with Tagorean narratives through its representation of gender and individual freedom, especially by foregrounding women's inner lives and moral struggles. Tagore's female characters—such as Bimala (*Ghare Baire*), Charulata (*Nastanirh*), and Binodini (*Chokher Bali*)—are not passive figures confined to domestic roles; instead, they are thinking, feeling individuals who question marriage, love, duty, and social expectations. Tagore presents women as ethical subjects capable of desire, doubt, and self-reflection, often

placing them at the center of conflicts between tradition and modernity. Indian cinema amplifies this dimension by visually externalizing their inner turmoil through close-ups, silences, spatial framing, and symbolic imagery, making psychological conflict more immediate and emotionally resonant for audiences. A key aspect of Tagore's vision is that women's freedom is not merely social rebellion but an inner awakening. He writes in *Chokher Bali*, "She had discovered that her heart had a right to speak, even if society refused to listen" (Rabindranath Tagore, *Chokher Bali*, trans. Radha Chakravarty, Oxford University Press, 2004, p. 112). Film adaptations draw deeply on this idea by showing women negotiating freedom within restrictive social spaces rather than simply escaping them. Cinematic narratives often linger on moments of hesitation, suppressed emotion, and quiet resistance, thus preserving Tagore's ethical subtlety while enhancing its visual impact. The camera becomes a tool to express what remains unspoken in the text—loneliness, desire, moral conflict, and the cost of self-assertion.

In more recent cinematic interpretations, Tagorean women are further repositioned within contemporary debates on gender equality, autonomy, and selfhood. While Tagore wrote within a specific historical context, his critique of patriarchy remains strikingly relevant. Modern filmmakers reinterpret his narratives to emphasize women's perspectives more explicitly, sometimes shifting narrative focus to highlight female agency in decision-making and moral judgment. Yet, these adaptations generally retain Tagore's caution against reckless individualism. His belief that freedom must be ethically grounded is reflected when films portray empowerment not as rejection of all social ties, but as conscious self-definition within or against them. Tagore's enduring insight—"Freedom is moral freedom, freedom of the soul" (*Sadhana*, Macmillan, 1913, p. 89)—continues to guide cinematic reinterpretations. Through this lens, Indian cinema transforms Tagorean narratives into a living discourse on gender and individuality, ensuring that his humanist vision speaks meaningfully to modern audiences without losing its original philosophical depth.

6. Nationalism, Identity, and Modern Society

Indian cinema engages with Tagore's ideas on nationalism, identity, and modern society in a deeper and more layered manner than mere political representation. For Tagore, nationalism was never a simple celebration of the nation; it was a complex moral problem that had the potential to uplift cultural self-respect but also to destroy human values when driven by aggression or blind imitation. He consistently warned that nationalism, when treated as an absolute ideology, could suppress individuality, compassion, and ethical judgment. In *Nationalism*, Tagore writes, "The Nation, in its working, is a great machine which, once set going, crushes individuality under its weight" (Rabindranath Tagore, *Nationalism*, Macmillan, 1917, p. 29). Indian cinema, while engaging with Tagorean narratives, translates this philosophical warning into visual and emotional terms, showing how large political ideas enter the private lives of individuals and reshape their identities. Films inspired by Tagore often portray nationalism as an emotional force that promises empowerment but simultaneously creates inner conflict. Rather than glorifying patriotic fervor, cinema highlights the psychological tension experienced by characters who are torn between moral responsibility and collective ideology. This is most clearly visible in cinematic treatments of *Ghare Baire*, where nationalism disrupts the balance of home, relationships, and personal ethics. The camera frequently lingers on moments of silence, hesitation, and emotional breakdown, emphasizing Tagore's belief that true identity is formed through ethical reflection, not political enthusiasm. As Tagore himself observes, "I am not against one nation in particular, but against the general idea of all nations" (*Nationalism*, p. 17), a thought that cinema reinterprets by questioning rigid political identities.

In the context of modern society, Indian cinema uses Tagorean ideas to explore how identity is shaped by rapid social change, modernization, and ideological pressure. Characters in such

films are often placed at crossroads—between tradition and reform, loyalty and conscience, public duty and private truth. This reflects Tagore’s broader humanist philosophy, where the individual must remain morally awake even while engaging with society. Cinema amplifies this struggle by situating personal identity within changing social landscapes, such as colonial influence, nationalist movements, or contemporary political anxieties. Through symbolic imagery, contrasting spaces, and emotionally charged narratives, films encourage viewers to see nationalism not as a fixed virtue but as a lived experience that must be questioned. By engaging with Tagore’s nuanced understanding of nationalism, Indian cinema transforms his literary critique into a powerful cultural dialogue. These films do not reject national identity outright; instead, they echo Tagore’s call for a nationalism grounded in humanity, tolerance, and ethical responsibility. In doing so, cinema becomes a reflective space where audiences are invited to reconsider simplified notions of tradition and progress. The enduring relevance of Tagore’s thought lies precisely in this balance—where love for culture coexists with critical self-awareness—and Indian cinema, through its reinterpretations, keeps this philosophical legacy alive in a modern social context.

7. Cinema as Transformation, Not Translation

Indian cinema engages with Tagore’s works not as a medium of literal translation but as a space of creative and cultural transformation. When Tagorean literature moves from text to screen, filmmakers inevitably reshape narrative structure, character focus, and thematic emphasis to suit the visual language of cinema and the expectations of contemporary audiences. This process involves condensation of complex philosophical ideas, reordering of events, and the use of imagery, sound, and performance to convey meanings that are implicit in the text. Rather than weakening Tagore’s vision, such transformations allow his ideas to acquire new forms of expression. Cinema, unlike literature, communicates through visual symbolism, emotional immediacy, and collective viewing, which enables abstract concepts such as freedom, ethical conflict, and social responsibility to become more accessible and emotionally resonant. Importantly, these cinematic changes should not be seen as distortions of the original texts but as evidence of an ongoing cultural dialogue between past and present. Tagore himself believed that culture must remain dynamic and responsive to time, not frozen in tradition. His emphasis on living tradition finds a natural continuation in film adaptations that relocate his narratives within new historical, social, or psychological contexts. By updating settings, highlighting contemporary concerns, or reinterpreting character motivations, filmmakers show that Tagorean thought is not confined to a particular era. Instead, it evolves alongside society while retaining its moral and humanist core.

Thus, cinema functions as a transformative medium that renews Tagore’s relevance for new generations. Through adaptation, filmmakers affirm that tradition does not lose its value through change; rather, it gains vitality. Indian cinema, by transforming rather than merely translating Tagorean literature, ensures that his ideas on freedom, identity, ethics, and humanity continue to engage audiences in meaningful ways. In this sense, film becomes a bridge between literary heritage and modern cultural consciousness, keeping Tagore’s intellectual legacy alive within a changing social world.

8. Conclusion

Indian cinema’s engagement with Tagorean narratives represents an ongoing negotiation between tradition and modernity. Rather than treating Tagore’s works as historical artifacts, filmmakers reinterpret them as living texts that address present-day concerns. This process preserves the philosophical richness of Tagore’s thought while allowing it to evolve within modern cultural contexts. The study concludes that Tagorean narratives in Indian cinema serve as a bridge between past and present, tradition and change. By engaging with these narratives creatively, Indian cinema contributes to a broader cultural conversation about identity, ethics,

and social transformation. In doing so, it ensures that Tagore's legacy remains dynamic, relevant, and deeply connected to the evolving realities of Indian society.

References

1. Banerjee, K. (2010). *Nationalism and humanism in Rabindranath Tagore: Literary and cinematic interpretations*. Kolkata: Progressive Publishers.
2. Bhattacharya, N. (2008). Visualising the psychological self: Tagore on screen. *Journal of Indian Cinema Studies*, 4(2), 45–61.
3. Chakravarty, R. (Trans.). (2004). *Chokher Bali* (Rabindranath Tagore). New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
4. Chatterjee, A. (2015). The modern woman in Tagore and Indian cinema: Agency, gaze, and subjectivity. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 22(3), 389–407.
5. Dutta, P. (2012). Postcolonial modernity and identity in Tagore-inspired cinema. *Journal of South Asian Cultural Studies*, 6(1), 23–39.
6. Ghosh, M. (2005). Family, modernity, and emotional alienation: Reading Tagore through Indian cinema. *Sociological Bulletin*, 54(2), 267–284.
7. Mukherjee, R. (2018). Social norms, consent, and resistance: Tagore adaptations in Indian cinema. *Studies in Indian Cinema*, 10(1), 57–72.
8. Raychaudhuri, S. (2001). Tagore in Indian cinema: Cultural memory and cinematic return. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 36(45), 4287–4293.
9. Sen, S. (2021). Ethical conflict and cinematic silence: Reworking Tagore in contemporary Indian films. *Journal of Cultural Negotiation*, 9(2), 101–118.
10. Tagore, R. (1913). *Sadhana: The realisation of life*. London: Macmillan.
11. Tagore, R. (1917). *Nationalism*. London: Macmillan.
12. Tagore, R. (1929). *Ghare Baire (The home and the world)*. Kolkata: Visva-Bharati.
13. Tagore, R. (1910/2011). *Gora*. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi.